Brian J. Benison Associate Director – Federal Regulatory SBC Telecommunications, Inc. 1401 I Street, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington D.C 20005 Phone: (202) 326-8847 Fax: (202) 408-4801



April 7, 2004

VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 01-338, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling

Obligations of Incumbent Local Incumbent Local Exchange

Carriers

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On April 6th, 2004, the attached letter was sent via facsimile to the Honorable Michael K. Powell, Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission, the Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy, the Honorable Michael J. Copps, the Honorable Kevin J. Martin and the Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein from Edward E. Whitacre, Jr. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SBC Communications, Inc.

I ask that this letter be placed in the files for the proceeding identified above. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Brian J. Benison

cc (via electronic mail):

Honorable Michael K. Powell Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Honorable Michael J. Copps Honorable Kevin J. Martin Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein



April 6, 2004

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioners:

Thank you for your letter dated March 31, 2004. I support your proposal that the industry engage in commercial negotiations designed to restore certainty and promote genuine competition in the telecommunications market. We are already actively engaged in negotiations with a number of carriers. In fact, we have reached agreement with Sage Telecom, our third largest wholesale customer, on a 7-year commercial contract to provide wholesale local phone services (including a replacement for the regulatorily-mandated UNE-P) throughout SBC's 13-state service territory. We hope to reach similar agreements with our other wholesale customers.

Based on our recent experience negotiating with Sage and others, we do not believe that a judicial stay of the mandate is necessary to facilitate commercial negotiations. To the contrary, as long as the old rules remain in place, and the prospect of further litigation looms, we are concerned that some companies will have little incentive to engage in serious negotiations.

Nevertheless, in deference to your direct request, SBC will agree to a 45 day extension of the stay of the Court's mandate from May 3 to June 17. If any petitions for rehearing are filed, then we will agree to a stay of the mandate until those petitions are denied or until June 17, whichever is later. We also will agree to a comparable extension (to July 15) of the current deadline for filing a petition for writ of certiorari. If any petitions for rehearing are filed, we will further agree to a deadline for certiorari of July 15 or 30 days following denial of rehearing, whichever is later. We will not agree to any further extensions of the deadlines described above.

We are eager to work with you and with our CLEC wholesale customers to reach agreements that will ensure that our Nation continues to have the most vibrant, the most competitive, and the most creative telecommunications market in the world and that offers consumers real telecommunications choices.

Yours sincerely,

Edward E. Whitacre, Jr.

El Whiteen